

The hierarchy of the value of subjects, objects and mental constructs from a rational point of view - Where does God stand in this hierarchy?

Robert Kaufmann

Religions create hierarchies with regard to different entities such as humans and animals and sometimes supposed entities such as angels, with regard to which there are also often hierarchies, and depending on the polytheistic or monotheistic religion, one god or another who is at the top of the hierarchy.

First of all, I assume that humans, like any other living matter, interpret their world subjectively on the basis of their own biological dispositions. For me, in connection with the hierarchy of values, all (supposed) entities that do not appear physically are derived from the human imagination. In religions, entities are created in connection with fantasy and the hierarchical thinking of people. A simple legitimization for authorities in human hierarchical systems is a not easily comprehensible and therefore - only apparently not criticizable - super-being - a god. For me, as a rationally oriented critical person, even an only supposed super-being can be criticized - and even this to a special degree. For me, entities and phenomena conceived by human beings have a lower value than actual physical entities and objects after a substantive examination. As a consequence of this, I derive a value according to levels as follows:

1st level and therefore highest value: animated matter. In nature, the animation of matter is a particularly exceptional phenomenon that has so far only been proven on Earth. I therefore consider the life of every life form to be a priori valuable. I also do not wish to establish a hierarchy between the different life forms such as humans, animals or plants, primarily because every life form is a random manifestation of being that has not somehow earned or chosen its respective biological form.

2nd level of value: Inanimate matter and energy in the broader sense. All manifestations of being have a value simply because they are there. I give examples: Water, air, light, earth. Without inanimate matter, life is also not possible.

3rd level of value: Constructs of human imagination without the assumption of real existence. People are imaginative beings and create existences, especially in books, that are not real but can be of interest, for example by entertaining many people. I am thinking here, for example, of characters such as Asterix, Donald Duck, Superman, Hulk or Frodo Baggins. As cultural assets, these and similar imaginary figures have a value, but as mere products of people's imagination, they have less value than anything material.

4th level of value: Constructs of human imagination with a partial assumption of real existence. This category includes figures invented by humans, which some people

assume nevertheless exist. In this category, there is a transition to the erroneous interpretation of the world, for example when people believe in the existence of figures such as goblins, ghosts or gnomes.

5th level of value: constructs of human fantasy of religious origin with a widespread assumption of existence. Here I am thinking of fantasy beings such as angels and demons as well as a belief in miracles. These human constructs with a religious reference are on a lower level than level 4 constructs, because in a religious context the misinterpretation of reality is often lived intensively and even - encouraged by the priesthood - openly displayed. In addition, supernatural beings who help or miracles are only an expression of the excessive egoism of the people affected by these fantasies, which must be rejected.

6th level of value: polytheistic gods. If a person places something above the value-bearing existence of levels 1 and 2 that only corresponds to their own valuation, this is a serious offense against reason and against nature. Throughout history, humans have created gods millions of times in different cultures and valued them as higher than what is really there. However, human values are only justified with regard to human behavior and human ideas, the latter including the idea of a single God. However, it is completely unacceptable when people place incorporeal fantasy figures - gods - above what is of value. All gods, insofar as they are believed in, are merely a blatant misinterpretation of reality by humans. In my opinion, pantheistic thoughts do not fall into this negative category, as here people place a high value on everything that actually exists and do not worship a worthless specific fantasy figure.

7th level of value and thus the absolute unsurpassable unworthiness: monotheistic gods with a claim to uniqueness and compliance. In view of a world history and the present day with millions of gods - the assumption of only one god, who has existed and still exists in different forms in several cultures, is particularly sick, particularly stupid, arrogant and completely ignorant of other religions with other gods. The three Abrahamic deities are examples of the various monotheistic deities, which are very different from each other in terms of content: The Jewish God, whom humans created with the Tanakh, the Christian God, whom humans created with the Bible, and the Muslim God, whom humans created with the Koran. If people want to be rational beings: How can they possibly come up with the idea that there is only one supreme God behind literature that is demonstrably written only by humans and selected by humans in a lengthy process of inferior and erroneous literature such as the three very different scriptures mentioned above? As an example of the quality of what is revered in this context, I quote from the Qur'an, Surah 4-56: "Whoever denies Our signs, We will burn him in the Fire. As soon as their skin is cooked, We will give them another skin so that they may taste the punishment." Prize question: Is the grill master from the other world being referred to the omniscient, omnipotent, merciful one and only God or is it possibly just a person with sick fantasies that he has foisted on a god and who only wanted to establish a well-behaved, uncritical following for the priesthood with the threat he has spread out? Moreover, the signs - flawed texts written by

humans with stupid rules - in no way speak in favor of divine co-authorship. Should some super-being wish to reveal itself to mankind in the future, I would suggest that it should appear as a figure at least 100 meters tall, so that one can clearly recognize that it is not a human being but something different. But even then I would like to ask a question: Would you follow the 100-meter-tall being if it imposed a strict ban on alcohol or, for all I care, a strict ban on eating cakes? Isn't it more a matter for humans to impose rules on themselves? Aren't human lawyers rather than incorporeal beings or 100-meter-tall other beings - who are also unlikely to have any relevant legal training - in a position to do this? Are we humans such immature beings that we need regulations such as food or clothing regulations from other beings?

What consequences should we draw from this hierarchy of value according to objective and reasonable points of view? Should we not rather promote empathy for fellow human beings and other living beings and nature as a whole, our basis of life, i.e. level 1 and 2 values, in people, especially in children to be educated, instead of belief in constructs of our imagination - gods - and thus constructs with the lowest level 6 and 7 values? Aren't people, namely the priesthood, always hiding behind the respective god, and do they not exercise power over other people in the slipstream of the only supposed respective culture-specific god and enrich themselves at the expense of their gullible followers? Should and may this go on forever?